## Miller Faculty Fellowships Proposal Evaluation Rubric

## **Levels of Achievement**

Criteria for Proposal Evaluation	Excellent 5 points	<b>Good</b> 3 points	Not Fully Developed 1 point
Significance for the undergraduate instructional program at ISU. Successful proposals will improve the quality of the undergraduate curriculum for students. Proposals should indicate the impact on curriculum, instructional delivery, and the students served. The proposal should directly support departmental, college, and/or university strategic planning goals.	The proposal will affect a significant number of students, is central to the development of core competencies (for students inside and outside the major), and clearly articulates how the proposal will further departmental, college and/or university outcomes and strategic planning goals.	The proposal will affect a significant number of students, is connected to the development of core competencies (primarily affecting students inside the major), and notes how the proposal will further departmental, college and/or university outcomes and strategic planning goals.	The proposal will affect a small number of students and is a curricular add-on with little link to departmental, college and/or university outcomes and strategic planning goals.
Enhancement of student learning outcomes and the student learning experience through improvement of pedagogy and instructional delivery. Successful proposals will clearly identify intended outcomes and will demonstrate a clear connection between pedagogy that will be implemented and specific learning outcomes	Clear congruence between pedagogy and intended student learning outcomes. Maximizes student learning potential. Clear rationale provided that supports use of the proposed pedagogy. Indepth learning outcomes are clearly stated/identified.	Uses pedagogy that is appropriate to intended student learning outcomes; rationale provided that supports use of the proposed pedagogy. Shows potential for enhanced student learning.	Pedagogy is a mismatch with intended student learning outcomes. Rationale for the proposed pedagogy is vague or missing. Improved student learning is questionable.

Criteria for Proposal Evaluation	Excellent 5 points	Good 3 points	Not Fully Developed 1 point
Successful proposals will include a clear and actionable plan to assess whether the project has achieved its desired outcomes. Effective plans will address the project's learning outcomes and other identified program outcomes. Proposed measures, data collection, data analysis, and reporting will be appropriate to the stated objectives.	^	Learning outcomes with assessment plans are stated/identified. The planned assessment will provide stakeholders with valuable information for determining the extent to which the program was successful, but falls short of fully/adequately addressing all intended learning or other program outcomes.	Learning and/or other program outcomes and assessment plan are not clearly stated or missing. If present, the assessment plan does not address the stated learning and/or other program outcomes.
Scholarship resulting from the project that enhances the understanding of teaching and student learning in the discipline and a plan to disseminate what is learned by the project to others.	The proposal will make a worthwhile contribution to the enhancement of teaching and student learning in the discipline. The proposal includes an in-depth assessment plan and a concrete plan to disseminate what is learned by the project to others locally, regionally and/or nationally.	The proposal will make a contribution to the enhancement of teaching and student learning in the discipline. The proposal includes an assessment plan and a plan to share what is learned by the project with others.	The proposal shows little promise to make a contribution to the enhancement of teaching and student learning in the discipline. There is little or no plan for assessment and no clear intention to share what is learned by the project to others locally, regionally and/or nationally.
Clarity of the <b>project plan</b> . Successful proposals will have a clear design, a strong link between design and intended outcomes, and a high likelihood of the proposers being able to complete the project within the timeline provided.	High likelihood that the project will be completed within the timeline provided. High congruence between the project design and intended outcomes. The resources identified are appropriate and available. Proposer(s) and department(s) are highly motivated and committed to the project's success.	Good likelihood that the project will be completed within the timeline provided. Appropriate match between project design and intended outcomes. The resources identified are appropriate and available. Proposer(s) and department(s) demonstrate commitment to the project's success.	Low likelihood that the project will be completed within the timeline provided, or timeline is missing. Mismatch between project design and intended outcomes. Resources are unavailable or are inappropriate. The proposer(s) and department(s) motivation and commitment to the project's success are unclear.

Criteria for Proposal Evaluation	Excellent 5 points	Good 3 points	Not Fully Developed 1 point
Clarity, appropriateness, and relevance of the proposed <b>budget</b> . Successful proposals will include a budget with a clear rationale for each expenditure in accordance with the project's overall plan and desired outcomes. Proposals with matching funds are preferred.	Detailed budget worksheet is provided. Clear rationale is provided for each expenditure—directly related to the intended outcomes. Appropriate use of funds. Matching funds are identified and verified as available.	Budget worksheet is provided. Proposed costs are justified. How proposed expenditures relate to the intended outcomes needs clarification.	Budget worksheet is missing or incomplete. Rationale not provided for expenditures; inappropriate or unclear use of funds.

Revised August 1, 2017